D	LANDRUM HIGH 102 Redland Road Landrum, South Carolina			
超圆	GRADES	9-12 High Schoo	I	
2 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	ENROLLMENT	362 Students		
MN®.	PRINCIPAL	Susan S. Vasque	∋z	864-457-2606
100	SUPERINTENDENT	Dr. James A. Litt	lefield	864-472-2846
(A) (N)	BOARD CHAIR	Henry T. Gramlir	ıg	864-472-2846
	THE STATE	of Sol	ЈТН САБ	OLINA
MA.	ANNUAL SCH REPORT CA		2003	3
M_{ℓ}		<u> </u>		
16.4	ABSOLUTE RATIN	gs of High Schools	with Students like	GOOD 2 Ours
N	Excellent God	od Average	Below Average 0	
The last	IMPROVEMENT RA	ATING:		GOOD
AB	ADEQUATE YEARL	Y PROGRESS:		N/A
M				
6.790	SOUTH CAROLINA	A PERFORMANO	CE GOAL	
r size	By 2010, South Carolina' the states nationally. To improving systems in the	achieve this goal, w		
	FOR MORE IN			ITES AT:
100	ww	W.MYSCSCHO WWW.SCEOC		

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Good	N/A
2002	Good	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Good	Good	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
		Our School			High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	74.4	80.0	71.6	75.2	73.8	72.8	
Passed 2 subtests	12.2	11.4	16.8	13.8	15.4	15.8	
Passed 1 subtest	11.0	4.3	7.4	7.1	7.0	7.1	
Passed no subtests	2.4	4.3	3.2	3.9	3.8	3.7	

Rate by Spring 2003 Scholarships* Graduation Rate	PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS						
All Students 71 97.2 70 17.1 75 78.7 Gender Male 42 100.0 44 22.7 49 77.6 Female 29 93.1 26 7.7 26 80.8 Race or Ethnic Group African American 7 71.4 7 0.0 8 62.5 Hispanic 1 I/S 0 N/A 0 N/A White 62 100.0 60 20.0 65 81.5 Other 1 I/S 3 I/S 2 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 5 80.0 11 0.0 12 33.3 Students without disabilities 66 98.5 59 20.3 63 87.3 Migrant Status Migrant Status Migrant Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Robustide English Proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Lunch Status 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7				Eligibility Scholar	for LIFE ships*	Graduati	on Rate
Gender Male 42 100.0 44 22.7 49 77.6 Female 29 93.1 26 7.7 26 80.8 Race or Ethnic Group African American 7 71.4 7 0.0 8 62.5 Hispanic 1 I/S 0 N/A 0 N/A White 62 100.0 60 20.0 65 81.5 Other 1 I/S 3 I/S 2 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 5 80.0 11 0.0 12 33.3 Students without disabilities 66 98.5 59 20.3 63 87.3 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A English Proficiency 1	All Students						
Male 42 100.0 44 22.7 49 77.6 Female 29 93.1 26 7.7 26 80.8 Race or Ethnic Group African American 7 71.4 7 0.0 8 62.5 Hispanic 1 I/S 0 N/A 0 N/A White 62 100.0 60 20.0 65 81.5 Other 1 I/S 3 I/S 2 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 5 80.0 11 0.0 12 33.3 Students without disabilities 66 98.5 59 20.3 63 87.3 Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A N/A 70 17.1 0 N/A English Proficiency 1 97.2 70 17.1 74 <		,,	01.L	10	.,,,,	70	70.7
Race or Ethnic Group African American 7 71.4 7 0.0 8 62.5 Hispanic 1 I/S 0 N/A 0 N/A White 62 100.0 60 20.0 65 81.5 Other 1 I/S 3 I/S 2 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 5 80.0 11 0.0 12 33.3 Students without disabilities 66 98.5 59 20.3 63 87.3 Migrant Status N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 70 17.1 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Lunch Status 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7		42	100.0	44	22.7	49	77.6
African American 7 71.4 7 0.0 8 62.5 Hispanic 1 I/S 0 N/A 0 N/A White 62 100.0 60 20.0 65 81.5 Other 1 I/S 3 I/S 2 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 5 80.0 11 0.0 12 33.3 Students without disabilities 66 98.5 59 20.3 63 87.3 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 70 17.1 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 71 97.2 70 17.1 74 79.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7							•
Hispanic 1 I/S 0 N/A 0 N/A White 62 100.0 60 20.0 65 81.5 Other 1 I/S 3 I/S 2 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 5 80.0 11 0.0 12 33.3 Students without disabilities 66 98.5 59 20.3 63 87.3 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A NOn-migrant N/A N/A 70 17.1 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 71 97.2 70 17.1 74 79.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7	Race or Ethnic Group						
White 62 100.0 60 20.0 65 81.5 Other 1 I/S 3 I/S 2 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 5 80.0 11 0.0 12 33.3 Students without disabilities 66 98.5 59 20.3 63 87.3 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 70 17.1 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 71 97.2 70 17.1 74 79.7 Lunch Status 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7	,	7		7	0.0	8	62.5
Other 1 I/S 3 I/S 2 I/S Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 5 80.0 11 0.0 12 33.3 Students without disabilities 66 98.5 59 20.3 63 87.3 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 70 17.1 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 71 97.2 70 17.1 74 79.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7		•		0	N/A	0	
Disability Status Non-speech disabilities 5 80.0 11 0.0 12 33.3 Students without disabilities 66 98.5 59 20.3 63 87.3 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 70 17.1 0 N/A English Proficiency Emplish Proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 71 97.2 70 17.1 74 79.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7	White	62				65	
Non-speech disabilities 5 80.0 11 0.0 12 33.3 Students without disabilities 66 98.5 59 20.3 63 87.3 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 70 17.1 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 71 97.2 70 17.1 74 79.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7	Other	1	I/S	3	I/S	2	I/S
Migrant Status N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A 0 N/A 79.7 79.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7	Disability Status						
Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 70 17.1 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 71 97.2 70 17.1 74 79.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7	Non-speech disabilities	5	80.0	11	0.0	12	33.3
Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 70 17.1 0 N/A English Proficiency VI VI VI VI 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 71 97.2 70 17.1 74 79.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7	Students without disabilities	66	98.5	59	20.3	63	87.3
Non-migrant N/A N/A 70 17.1 0 N/A English Proficiency Use an integration of the proficient o	Migrant Status						
English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 71 97.2 70 17.1 74 79.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7	Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 71 97.2 70 17.1 74 79.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7	Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	70	17.1	0	N/A
Non-LEP 71 97.2 70 17.1 74 79.7 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7	English Proficiency						
Lunch Status Subsidized meals 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7	Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Subsidized meals 17 94.1 0 N/A 21 66.7	Non-LEP	71	97.2	70	17.1	74	79.7
	Lunch Status						
Full-pay meals 54 98.1 70 17.1 54 83.3	Subsidized meals	17	94.1	0	N/A	21	66.7
	Full-pay meals	54	98.1	70	17.1	54	83.3

Percent of Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions* Seniors who met the SAT requirement 17.1 19.8 Seniors who met the grade point average 48.6 59.0

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Landrum High 4201003

SCHOOL PROFILE		Change from	High Schools with	Median
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	High School
Students (n= 362)				
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	6.5%	7.3%
Attendance rate	96.1%	Up from 94.0%	95.4%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	11.9%	Up from 0.0%	10.6%	5.1%
	14.4%	Down from 14.8%	12.1%	12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	4.7%	Down from 5.7%	7.2%	10.1%
	1.1%	Up from 0.3%	2.1%	2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	19.2%	N/A	N/A	10.2%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	5.1%	Up from 3.0%	3.0%	2.7%
	0.0%	No change	0.8%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center	r 192	Up from 142	569	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	41.8%	Up from 39.3%	28.1%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	83.6%	Up from 55.1%	75.3%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	100.0%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 35)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	60.0%	Down from 60.6%	57.5%	51.7%
	91.4%	Up from 90.9%	84.5%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	87.8%	Up from 81.6%	87.2%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.5%	Down from 96.8%	95.8%	95.8%
	\$42,022	Down 0.3%	\$41,190	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	12.1 days	Down from 14.2 days	10.5 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	21.2 to 1	Up from 20.7 to 1	27.5 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.3%	Up from 89.3%	90.7%	90.1%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8,863	Up 8.1%	\$6,069	\$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	57.1%	Up from 54.1%	59.7%	57.8%
	Excellent	No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	No change	87.5%	87.8%
	ves	N/A	yes	yes
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	, 50		,55	,00

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Landrum High 4201003

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The hallmark of our school continues to be strong parent/community support, a dedicated staff, and committed students. Through the efforts of these groups working together, our 2002-2003 school year experienced numerous successes.

Landrum High School's Class of 2003's seventy seniors enjoyed a banner year of honors and successes. Collectively, this year's senior class amassed well over \$1.4 million dollars in scholarships, and 19 received LIFE Scholarships. Three students were named Palmetto Fellows and one student was named a South Carolina Teaching Fellow. One student was also recognized as a National Merit Semifinalist commended student.

Our school was recognized as one of the top ten schools statewide for SAT improvements with an increase of 84 points. In addition, BSAP scores continue to rank among the highest in school history. There was a significant increase in the number of students taking Advanced Placement courses and our distance education program continues to enhance course offerings in these areas.

As a South Carolina Exemplary Writing School our instructional focus continues to be one that is standards-based. Our vertical teams ensure that students are receiving an education that will prepare students for assessments in all areas. A ninth grade academy is being implemented this year, and our academic assistance programs will provide needed assistance to students who are in need of additional help.

While academic achievement is our top priority, students made strides in other areas as well. Region championships were won in boys' track, girls' track, and baseball. Service projects included "Pennies for Patients," "Relay for Life," Spartanburg Blood Bank, and the Foothills Humane Society. We received the South Carolina Palmetto Pride Grant. A school beautification and recycling program was initiated.

The passage of a bond referendum in November 2002 marked the beginning of an exciting phase for the Landrum High School community. Therefore the design of a new facility will be a priority as we look to build upon past successes and continue a tradition of excellence.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	39	58	10				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	89.7%	100.0%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	91.4%	70.0%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	84.6%	84.5%	90.0%				

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.